

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,401	08/19/2003	Raymond G. Freuler	POWRD-015C 3992	
7:	590 10/26/2005		EXAM	INER
Steven C. Bauman			NORDMEYER, PATRICIA L	
HENKEL COR	RPORATION			
Legal Department			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1001 Trout Brook Crossing			1772	
Rocky Hill, CT 06067			DATE MAIL ED: 10/26/200	ς .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	[ A1'4' N]	A !! 4/ \				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/643,401	FREULER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Patricia L. Nordmeyer	1772				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 A	ugust 2005					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-28</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-28</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	_					
		Evaminar				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		•				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 LLS C & 440/a	\-(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	phonty under 33 O.O.C. § 119(a)	y-(u) or (r).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
application from the International Bureau	•					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 1772

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Withdrawn Rejections

- 1. The 35 U.S.C. 112 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph rejection of claims 16 28 in the paper dated June 6, 2005 is withdrawn due to Applicant's amendment in the paper dated August 26, 2005.
- 2. The obvious double patenting rejection of claims 16 28 in the paper dated June 6, 2005 is withdrawn due to Applicant's amendment in the paper dated August 26, 2005.
- 3. The 35 U.S.C. 102(e) rejection of claims 16 18 as anticipated by Freuler et al. in the paper dated June 6, 2005 is withdrawn due to Applicant's amendment in the paper dated August 26, 2005.

#### **Double Patenting**

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Art Unit: 1772

5. Claims 16 – 28 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 7, 8 and 12 of U.S. Patent No. 6,616,999 to Freuler et al. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other.

Freuler et al. discloses a thermal interface positionable at a juncture between an electronic component and a heat sink for facilitating heat transfer from said electronic component to said heat sink comprising a generally planar substrate consisting essentially of a single layer having first and second surfaces and defining a first continuous peripheral edge, said peripheral edge having a portion thereof extending beyond the juncture between said electronic component and said heat sink, a first layer of heat conductive composition formed substantially about said first surface of said substrate and a second layer of a heat conductive composition formed substantially about said second surface of said substrate, said first and second layer further being formed upon said portion of said substrate extending beyond said juncture between said electronic component and said heat sink and formulated to have a melting point of approximately 51 – 60 °C and a layer of adhesive formed upon a portion of a respective one of said layer of heat conductive material, said adhesive layer being localized upon said portion of said peripheral edge defined by generally planar substrate extending beyond said juncture between said electronic component and said heat sink, wherein said heat conductive composition comprises 60 to 90% by weight of paraffin and 10 to 40% by weight of graphite (Column 8, line 48 to Column 9, line 10) as in claims 16, 20 and 24 - 26. With regard to claims 17, 18, 21, 22, 27 and 28, the substrate comprises a thermally conductive metal foil selected from the group consisting of

Art Unit: 1772

copper gold, silver and aluminum (Column 10, lines 1-5). A peel-away protective layer formed to said adhesive, wherein peeling away said peel-away layer exposes said layer of adhesive on said substrate so as to facilitate adhesive bonding of said thermal interface to said heat sink (Column 10, lines 18-23) as in claims 19 and 23.

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 16 – 28 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,401

Art Unit: 1772

examiner should be directed to Patricia L. Nordmeyer whose telephone number is (571) 272-

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

1496. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. from 7:00-4:30 & alternate

Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Harold Y. Pyon can be reached on (571) 272-1498. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patricia L. Nordmeyer

Examiner

Art Unit 1772

10/24/05

Page 5